OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL

MEMORANDUM GC 21-02

February 1, 2021

TO: Regional Directors, Officers-in-Charge, and Resident Officers

FROM: Peter Sung Ohr, Acting General Counsel

SUBJECT: Rescission of Certain General Counsel Memoranda

Section 1 of the Act makes clear that the policy of the United States is to encourage the practice and procedure of collective bargaining and to protect the exercise by workers of their full freedom of association, self-organization, and designation of representatives of their own choosing for the purpose of negotiating the terms and conditions of their employment. As a career employee of the NLRB, I have endeavored to effectuate this policy. As Acting General Counsel, I will continue to work to realize the Act's purpose.

I have determined that a number of outstanding General Counsel Memoranda are either inconsistent with the above-described policies and/or Board law, or are no longer necessary.

Accordingly, I am rescinding the following General Counsel Memoranda:

- GC 18-04, Guidance on Handbook Rules Post-Boeing (June 6, 2018) (instructing Regions on the placement of various types of employer rules into the three categories set out in the then-recent Board decision in The Boeing Company, 365 NLRB No. 154 (Dec. 14, 2017)). Note that this Memorandum is being rescinded as it is no longer necessary, given the number of Board cases interpreting Boeing that have since issued.
- GC 18-06, Responding to Motions to Intervene by Decertification Petitioners and Employees (Aug. 1, 2018) (requiring Regions to no longer oppose intervention in ULP hearings by proposed Intervenors such as individuals who have filed a decertification petition or circulated a document upon which the employer has unlawfully withdrawn recognition of the collective-bargaining representative). Note that the approach reflected in GC 18-06 is inconsistent with prior practice.
- GC 19-01, General Counsel's Instructions Regarding Section 8(b)(1)(A) Duty of Fair Representation Charges (Oct. 24, 2018) (seeking change in Board law to require unions raising a "mere negligence" defense to a DFR allegation concerning a union's grievance handling to establish the existence of

- established, reasonable procedures or systems in place to track grievances; classifying a union's failure to communicate grievance decisions and/or respond to a grievant's inquiries as arbitrary conduct rather than "mere negligence").
- GC 19-03, Deferral under <u>Dubo Manufacturing Company</u> (Dec. 28, 2018) (instructing Regions to defer under <u>Dubo</u> [142 NLRB 431 (1963)], or consider deferral thereunder, of all Section 8(a)(1), (3), (5) and 8(b)(1)(A), and (3) cases in which a grievance was filed and not to apply <u>Babcock & Wilcox Construction Co.</u> 361 NLRB 1127 (2014) ("<u>Babcock"</u>) to cases that could be deferred under <u>Dubo</u>). Since <u>Babcock</u> was overruled by <u>United Parcel Services</u>. <u>Corp.</u>, 369 NLRB No. 1 (Dec. 23, 2019), GC 19-03 is outdated. To the extent the memorandum made changes to case handling procedures relating to the deferral of cases under <u>Dubo</u>, Regions should follow Section 10118.1(c) of the Unfair Labor Practice Casehandling Manual and the memoranda cited therein.
- GC 19-04, Unions' Duty to Properly Notify Employees of Their General Motors/Beck Rights and to Accept Dues Checkoff Revocations after Contract Expiration (Feb. 22, 2019) (requiring Regions to urge the Board to overturn Food & Commercial Workers Local 700 (Kroger Limited Partnership), 361 NLRB 420 (2014) and to adopt the D.C. Circuit's holding in Penrod v. NLRB, 203 F.3d 41 (D.C. Cir. 2000) requiring unions to provide the reduced amount of dues and fees for dues objectors in the initial Beck notice; requiring Regions to urge the Board to overturn Frito-Lay, 243 NLRB 137 (1979) and limit dues authorization window periods; finding unions' certified mail requirements unlawful; and mandating certain union communications with employees concerning untimely revocation requests).
- GC 19-05, General Counsel's Clarification Regarding Section 8(b)(1)(A) Duty of Fair Representation Charges (Mar. 26, 2019) (relating to GC 19-01).
- GC 19-06, <u>Beck</u> Case Handling and Chargeability Issues in Light of <u>United Nurses & Allied Professionals (Kent Hospital)</u> [367 NLRB No. 94 (Mar. 1, 2019)] (Apr. 29, 2019) (instructing Regions investigating agency fee objector cases to require unions to provide detailed explanations of the union's chargeability decisions for each major category of expenses and the method used to determine the portion of expenses chargeable in mixed expenditure categories instead of requiring objectors to explain why an expenditure is nonchargeable; requiring unions to categorize lobbying expenses as nonchargeable and to account for any other secondary costs used to support its lobbying activities; finding no amount *de minimis*).
- GC 20-08, Changes to Investigative Practices (June 17, 2020) (instructing Regions on how to proceed during investigations in connection with securing the testimony of former supervisors and former agents, and how audio records

should be dealt with during investigations). Note that this Memorandum is being rescinded because portions are inconsistent with prior practices. Regions should continue to not accept recordings that violate the Federal Wiretap Act and to apprise individuals who proffer recorded evidence when it may violate state law.

- GC 20-09, Guidance Memorandum on Make Whole Remedies in Duty of Fair Representation Cases (June 26, 2020) (instructing Regions to urge the Board to overrule Ironworkers Local Union 377 (Alamillo Steel), 326 NLRB 375 (1998) and adopt an "arguable merit" standard that reverses the burdens of proof and imposes full liability on a union for its grievance-handling absent the union establishing that the grievance lacked merit).
- GC 20-13, Guidance Memorandum on Employer Assistance in Union Organizing (Sept. 4, 2020) (requiring Regions to urge the Board in charges involving union neutrality agreements to adopt the "more than ministerial aid" standard used in union decertification cases).

Future memoranda setting forth additional new policies will issue in the near future.

/s/

P.S.O.